Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/019,122	BRAND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Philip C Tucker	1712
All Participants: Status of Application: after final		
(1) <u>Philip C Tucker</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michelle Replogle</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 12 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the i	n summary of the substance
•		
·		
		~
Allah		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to limit the fluid loss additive in claim 12 to poly-hydroxypropylmethacrylate. Applicants reserve the right to file a continuation to the other species therein. The examiner requested such change in view that claim 2 was rejected by Dobson, and it was meant to indicate that claim 12 would be allowable if limited to the poly-hydroxypropylmethacrylate therein.